# AN END-TO-END TEST OF THE SENSITIVITY OF ICECUBE TO THE NEUTRINO BURST FROM A CORE-COLLAPSE SUPERNOVA

Spencer Griswold – *sgriswold@icecube.wisc.edu* 

Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Rochester 206 Bausch & Lomb Hall P.O. Box 270171, Rochester, NY 14627, USA

July 16th, 2021



Simulated CCSN  $\overline{
u}_e$  interaction vertices registered by IceCube. A CCSN neutrino burst yields a strong signal.

## THE "FIRE DRILL" TEST OF OPERATIONAL READINESS



## **RESULTS OF OPEN, OFFLINE "FIRE DRILL" TESTS**



Comparison of the lightcurve reported during the "Fire Supernova triggering test statistic  $\xi$  for models from Drill" (FD) test and the simulated neutrino lightcurve. Nakazato *et al.* A trigger within expectation  $\mathcal{E}$ =193.36 ± 13.91 was obtained.

#### **EXTENDING TESTS OF OPERATIONAL READINESS**

## We are moving towards blind, online tests to examine operator and collaboration working groups.

- ▶ How will the collaboration respond to a high significance alert?
- ▶ Will CCSN signals close to the detection threshold be caught?
- ► Can measurements be promptly obtained from a CCSN signal?

# Further extensions will include the SuperNova Early Warning System (SNEWS2.0), other SNEWS-member neutrino experiments, and SNEWS-member optical astronomers.

- How do other neutrino detectors (and IceCube) respond to an external SNEWS alert without an internal coincident signal?
- Given coordinates in the sky, can astronomers identify a transient (if there is one)?