## Search for PBH evaporations with H.E.S.S.

Thomas Tavernier, J.F. Glicenstein, F. Brun, V. Marandon

IRFU / CEA-Paris Saclay, Université Paris-Saclay

thomas.tavernier@cea.fr

4 juillet 2021

#### Physics assumptions

- Primordial black holes are an hypothetical type of black hole that formed in the early universe.
- Various scenarios :
  - $\bullet\,$  Gaussian density fluctuations  $\rightarrow\,$  gravitational collapse
  - ightarrow Power law mass spectrum
- $\rightarrow\,$  The idea that PBH may account for a significant fraction of the invisible mass experiences a renewed popularity.
  - According to Hawking Radiation process :
    - PBHs with an initial mass of  $\sim 5.0 \times 10^{14} g~(\sim 10^{-19} {\rm M}_{\odot})$  should reach there final stage of evaporation now. Possibly emitting bursts of high-energy particles, including gamma radiation in the TeV energy range.

A D N A B N A B N A B N

### Overview of the analysis

- $\rightarrow$  Goal of the analysis : search TeV  $\gamma$ -ray bursts
  - Timescale of a few seconds to few minutes
  - Signal of few photons
- $\rightarrow$  Analysis steps :
  - Use efficient algorithm to detect such bursts
    - OPTICS algorithm
  - Have a reliable estimation of the false positive background
    - Estimated through shuffling several times the timestamps in the data, then running the same algorithm.
  - Estimate signal expected with H.E.S.S. from PBH evaporations
    - Using PBH evaporation spectrum, convoluted whith the H.E.S.S. IRF
  - Use statistical method to put upper limits on PBH evaporations rate, or eventually claim a signal detection
    - Maximum likelihood ratio
  - Use these upper limits to constrain cosmological models

#### Dataset

- H.E.S.S.-1 observations between January 2004 and January 2013. (GPS & HEGS data set)
- Runs of poor quality (bad weather or technical problems) excluded
- Sources region are excluded
- Use only runs available for both H.E.S.S. analysis chains. (X-check)
- Final dataset : 11494 runs (4924 hours).

. . . . . . . .

# OPTICS Algorithm (3D approach)

**OPTICS** : ordering points to identify the clustering structure

3 input parameters :

- n : MinPts : minimum number of points in cluster (2)
- *ϵ* : maximum distance (0.14° : 2x point source radius definition in H.E.S.S. (was 0.2° for PA ))
- $\chi_0$  : reachability cut (0.05)

4th Parameter for our analysis : Metric to apply for the time dimension.





# Background estimation

#### False positive background estimation

- Shuffling the time of arrivals of photons
- 200 MC realisations for each run (hereafter OFF data)



6/14

## Expected signal from PBH evaporation

Evaporation spectrum :

$$\frac{dN}{dE} = \Phi_0 \times \begin{cases} \left(\frac{E}{E_0}\right)^{-\alpha_0} & \text{for } E \leq E_{\text{cut}} \\ \left(\frac{E_{\text{cut}}}{E_0}\right)^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_0} \left(\frac{E}{E_0}\right)^{-\alpha_1} & \text{for } E \geq E_{\text{cut}} \end{cases}$$

with :

• 
$$E_{\rm cut} = 38. (\frac{1}{\Delta t})^{1/3.}$$
 TeV

See J.H. MacGibbon & B.R. Webber (1990)

Assuming the predicted PBH evaporation spectral shape, the expected number of photons is computed for each run using the HESS IRFs.



### Expected signal from PBH evaporation

#### The information we really need :

Number M of cluster with a size of  $N_{\rm obs}$  photons observed during the run

$$M(N_{\rm obs}) = \int d\Omega \int_0^{T_{\rm run}} dt \int_0^\infty dr r^2 \dot{\rho}_{\rm PBH} P(N_{\rm obs}|\mu(r))$$

Where :

- $\Omega$  is the solid angle of the H.E.S.S. field of view
- r is the distance of the PBH
- $\mu(r)$  is the mean number of photons seen with H.E.S.S.
- $\dot{
  ho}_{
  m PBH}$  the PBH evaporation density rate

$$M(N_{\rm obs}) = \Omega T_{\rm run} \dot{\rho}_{\rm PBH} \frac{\left(r_0 \sqrt{N_0}\right)^3}{2} \frac{\Gamma(N_{\rm obs} - 3/2)}{\Gamma(N_{\rm obs} + 1)}$$

where  $N_0$  is the mean number of photons seen for a PBH evaporation at given distance  $r_0$ 

Thomas Tavernier, J.F. Glicenstein, F. Brun,

### Significance test and Upper limits estimation

Feldman-Cousins test :

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}_{H_1}}{\mathcal{L}_{H_0}} = \prod_{n_{\rm ON} \in \text{Data}} \frac{\mathcal{P}(n_{\rm ON} | \lambda = n_{\rm OFF} + M(n_{\rm phot}, \dot{\rho}_{\rm PBH}))}{\mathcal{P}(n_{\rm ON} | \lambda = n_{\rm OFF})}$$

Where  $M(n_{\rm phot},\dot{
ho}_{\rm PBH})$  is the expected excess.

$$TS = -2\ln\left(\frac{\mathcal{L}_{H_1}}{\mathcal{L}_{H_0}}\right) = 2 \times \sum_{n_{\rm ON}} M + n_{\rm ON} \left(\ln(n_{\rm OFF}) - \ln(n_{\rm OFF} + M)\right)$$

Thomas Tavernier, J.F. Glicenstein, F. Brun,

4 juillet 2021 9 / 14

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# Results : Limits on PBH evaporation rate

- Analysis was ran for 10s, 30s, 60s, 120s time scales
- No significant PBH evaporation signal  $(\sigma < 0.5)$
- $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Preliminary best 99\% C.L} \\ \mbox{upper limit :} \\ \dot{\rho}_{\rm PBH} < 700 \mbox{ pc}^{-3} \mbox{yr}^{-1} \end{array}$

- 4924 hours of HESS data
- HAP photon list



→ ∃ →

- ∢ /⊐ >

#### Cosmological interpretation : assumptions

- PBH creation induced by Gaussian density fluctuations
- initial mass distribution described by a power law :

$$\frac{d\rho_{PBH}}{dM_i} = \frac{\rho_0}{M_*} \left(\frac{M_i}{M_*}\right)^{-\beta}$$

with :

 $M_i$  the initial mass of PBHs

 $\mathrm{M}_{\ast}$  the initial mass of PBHs at the final stage of evaporation here and now.

2 < β < 3</li>

 $\rho_0$  is given by  $\rho_0 = (\beta - 2) \frac{\Omega_{\text{PBH}} \rho_c}{M_*}$ where  $\Omega_{\text{PBH}}$  is the fraction of the critical density  $\rho_c$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

#### Cosmological interpretation : assumptions

Local rate of evaporation is given by [Halzen et al 1991]

$$\dot{
ho}_{\mathrm{PBH}} \simeq rac{lpha(M_*)}{M_*^3} \eta 
ho_0 \simeq rac{lpha(M_*)}{M_*^4} \eta(eta-2) \Omega_{\mathrm{PBH}} 
ho_c$$

where :

- α(M) counts the degrees of freedom of the particles contributing to the energy loss as a function of the black-hole mass
- $\eta$  is the ratio between the global and local dark matter densities.

using

- $\eta > 1.6 \times 10^4 \ \mathrm{[bovy \ 2012]}$
- $\alpha(M_*) > 10^{17} \mathrm{~kg^3~s^{-1}}$

Our upper limits of  $\dot{
ho}_{\rm PBH}$  constrains the product  $(eta-2)\Omega_{\rm PBH}$ 

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

# Cosmological limits on $\Omega_{\rm PBH}$

- $rac{\Omega_{\mathrm{PBH}}}{\Omega_{\mathrm{DM}}} < 1$  for most values of beta
- It would require fine tuning for these object to be responsible for the major part of the invisible mass



4 juillet 2021

13/14

### Summary

- Analysis summary :
  - Analysis was ran on  $\sim$ 5000 hours of H.E.S.S data for  $\Delta t = 10, 30, 60$  and 120 seconds using HAP analysis chain.
  - No hint of signal.
  - 99% CL upper limits lies between  $6.5\times10^3$  and  $7.0\times10^2~pc^{-3}~yr^{-1}$  is competitive with previous measurements
- Assuming PBH are induced by Gaussian density fluctuations and follows Hawking's evaporation process : PBHs are unlikely to participate significantly in the missing mass of the universe.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

4 juillet 2021

14/14