

### Numerical Study the Effects of Corotating Interaction Regions (CIRs) on cosmic proton, and helium Transport

Xi Luo,<sup>1</sup> Marius Potgieter,<sup>3</sup> Xueshang Feng<sup>2</sup>, Fang Shen<sup>2</sup> and Ming Zhang<sup>4</sup>

- 1. Shandong Institute of Advanced Technology, Jinan, 250100 China
- 2. National Space Science Center, Chinese Academy of Science, China
- 3. Institute for Experimental and Applied Physics, CA University in Kiel, 24118 Kiel, Germany
- 4. Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, FL 32901, U.S.A

# Outline

Background

Numerical Model

Simulation Results

• Summary

### Motivation



Belcher and Davis (1971)

### **MHD** simulation

 $((V_r, V_\theta, V_\phi), (B_r, B_\theta, B_\phi), N, P)$ 

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left( \rho \mathbf{V} \right) &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial \rho \mathbf{V}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left[ \left( P + \frac{B^2}{2\mu_0} \right) \mathbf{I} + \rho \mathbf{V} \mathbf{V} - \frac{BB}{\mu_0} \right] &= -\frac{\rho G M_s}{r^2} \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r} + \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{f} \\ \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{V} \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{B} \mathbf{V}) &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial P}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{V}) &= -(\gamma - 1) P \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} \end{split}$$

Expressed in the solar co-rotating frame.

### **MHD** Simulation



-50

Carrington Longitude (degree)

Solve MHD equations to obtain plasma background for CR2066 (Jan. 2008). *(Shen et al. ApJ 2018)* 

### CR transport plasma background

MHD simulation to 12 au; then use Parker HMF Model interpolated to 130 au.

$$b_r(r) = b_r(r_0) \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^2$$
$$b_{\varphi}(r) = b_{\varphi}(r_0) \frac{r_0}{r}$$
$$\varphi(r_0) = \varphi(r) - \frac{r - r_0}{v_{sw}} \Omega$$

The termination shock and heliopause have also been included in the simulation domain.



### Combined MHD & CR transport model



$$d\mathbf{\bar{x}} = \sqrt{2\vec{\bar{k}}} \cdot d\mathbf{\bar{w}}(s) + (\nabla \cdot \vec{\bar{k}} - \mathbf{\bar{V}}_{sw} - \mathbf{\bar{V}}_{d})ds$$
$$dp = \frac{1}{3}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{\bar{V}}_{sw}pds$$

### Proton V.S. Helium Transport

$$\beta = \frac{R}{\sqrt[2]{(R)^2 + (A/Z)^2 mc^2}}$$

Proton:  $mc^2 = 0.938 \text{ GeV}$ Helium:  $mc^2 = 3.727 \text{ GeV}$  Different Beta Value for Same Rigidity

#### **Diffusion coefficients:**

 $\kappa = \kappa_0 \cdot \beta \cdot G(R) / B$ 

**Proton:**  $q = e \ A = 1$ **Helium:**  $q = 2e \ A = 4 \ or \ 3$ 

#### Proton Local Interstellar Spectrum



$$J_{\rm p}(E) = 2620.0 \frac{1}{\beta^2} \left(\frac{E}{E_0}\right)^{1.1} \left(\frac{(E/E_0)^{0.98} + 0.7^{0.98}}{1 + 0.7^{0.98}}\right)^{-4.0} + 30.0 \left(\frac{E}{E_0}\right)^2 \left(\frac{E/E_0 + 8.0}{9.0}\right)^{-12.0},$$

D. Bisschoff et al. ApJ 2019

#### Helium Isotopes Local Interstellar Spectrum

$$J_{\rm He}(E) = 163.4 \frac{1}{\beta^2} \left(\frac{E}{E_0}\right)^{1.1} \left(\frac{(E/E_0)^{0.97} + 0.58^{0.97}}{1 + 0.58^{0.97}}\right)^{-4.0}$$

D. Bisschoff et al. ApJ 2019

Unit of J(E) is 
$$counts/(m^2 \cdot s \cdot sr \cdot \left(\frac{GeV}{nuc}\right))$$
, E is  $\frac{GeV}{nuc}$ 

#### For E < 1.41 GeV/nuc

$$\frac{J_{He^3(E)}}{J_{He^4(E)}} = -0.0718 \times E^4 + 0.3518 \times E^3 - 0.666 \times E^2 + 0.6055 \times E^1 - 0.0012$$

For  $E \geq 1.41 GeV/nuc$ 

 $\frac{J_{He^3(E)}}{J_{He^4(E)}} = 0.00000002 \times E^4 - 0.000004 \times E^3 + 0.0003 \times E^2 - 0.0093 \times E^1 + 0.2412$ 

### **Simulation Results** (0.3 GV Helium Isotopes longitude variation)



### Simulation results

#### (0.3 GV Proton and Helium longitude variation)



### Simulation results

(1 GV Proton, Helium 4 Mean Free Path Longitude Variation)



# **Conclusion & Summary**

- Proton and two Helium isotopes studied at 0.3 GV are modulated by the CIR so that their flux levels are depressed inside the CIR;
- The manner in which the two helium isotopes responds to the presence of the CIR is different as a function of longitude; similar to proton and total helium;
- The flux ratio at 0.3 GV of He-4 to He-3 and proton to total helium vary inside the CIR;
- Possible reason is that their LIS forms and mass charge ratio are different.

# Thank You!