### Periodic variations of GCR intensity and anisotropy related to solar rotation by ACE/CRIS, STEREO, SOHO/EPHIN and neutron monitors observations

Renata Modzelewska and Agnieszka Gil

Siedlce University renatam@uph.edu.pl

## Outline

- galactic cosmic ray (GCR) anisotropy and intensity near the solar minima 23/24 and 24/25 based on neutron monitor (NM) measurements
- 27-day variations of GCR anisotropy and intensity in the solar minima: 2007-2009 (A<0) and 2017-2019 (A>0) in the opposite polarities of solar magnetic cycle
- 27-day GCR variations by ACE/CRIS, STEREO A, B, SOHO/EPHIN

# GCR variations 2007-2009 (A<0)



#### 2017-2019 (A>0)



#### determining recurrence/periodicity of GCR intensity and anisotropy in 2007-2009 (A<0) and 2017-2019 (A>0)



#### Amplitudes of the 27-day GCR variations by NMs



| A27I[%]    | A < 0             | A > 0             |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| NM station | 2007-2009         | 2017-2019         |
| Apatity    | $0.41 \pm 0.04$   | $0.64 {\pm} 0.05$ |
| Kerguelen  | $0.34 \pm 0.04$   | $0.48 {\pm} 0.05$ |
| Newark     | $0.34 \pm 0.04$   | $0.54 \pm 0.07$   |
| Oulu       | $0.42 {\pm} 0.05$ | $0.52 \pm 0.05$   |
| Hermanus   | $0.25 \pm 0.02$   | $0.43 {\pm} 0.05$ |



# Dynamics of the periodicity and related maximum power of GCR intensity and anisotropy components



#### determining recurrence/periodicity in HMF Bx and solar wind velocity in 2007-2009



#### STEREO 2007-2009 (A<0)





#### data processing



50 [MeV] 80 [MeV]

7.10-4

STEREO A A>0

#### Amplitudes of the 27-day GCR variations SOHO/EPHIN STEREO A and B

| A27I[%]                                  | A < 0  | A > 0           |
|--|--|-----------------|
|  | 2007-2009  | 2017-2019       |
| E[MeV/n]                                 |  |                 |
| SOHO EPHIN                               |  |                 |
| 25-40.9                                  | $3.94 \pm 0.00$  | $3.04 \pm 0.36$ |
| 40.9-53                                  | $4.25 \pm 0.00$  | $3.44 \pm 0.37$ |
| STEREO A                                 |  |                 |
| 40-60                                    | $2.17 \pm 0.20$  | $2.65 \pm 0.20$ |
| 60-100                                   | $1.61 \pm 0.20$  | $1.83 \pm 0.10$ |
| STEREO B                                 |  |                 |
| 40-60                                    | $2.80 \pm 0.30$  |                 |
| 60-100                                   | $1.40 \pm 0.10$  |                 |
| 10 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | Constant and the second se |                 |



#### ACE CRIS Oxygen 2007-2009 (A<0)





ACE CRIS O A<0

#### Amplitudes of the 27-day GCR variations ACE/CRIS

| A27I[%]       | A < 0           | A > 0           |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| ACE O         | 2007-2009       | 2017-2019       |
| E[MeV/n]      |                 |                 |
| 59.0-75.6     | $3.14 \pm 0.19$ | $3.67 \pm 0.29$ |
| 77.2-103.8    | $2.76 \pm 0.26$ | $2.94 \pm 0.24$ |
| 105.1-127.2   | $3.19 \pm 0.25$ | $2.85 \pm 0.24$ |
| 128.3-147.8   | $4.04 \pm 0.40$ | $3.59 \pm 0.29$ |
| 148.7 - 166.5 | $3.03 \pm 0.21$ | $3.49 \pm 0.28$ |
| 167.4-183.8   | $3.55 \pm 0.33$ | $4.08 \pm 0.35$ |
| 184.7-200.4   | $4.22 \pm 0.32$ | $3.96 \pm 0.35$ |



#### Amplitudes of the 27-day GCR variations ACE/CRIS ACE/CRIS ACE/CRIS C



# Polarity dependence of recurrent GCR modulation – possible explanation

- Several approaches were proposed, e.g., the polarity dependent diffusion coefficients (Richardson et al. 1999; Richardson 2004), heliolongitudinal asymmetry of the solar wind velocity (Modzelewska & Alania 2012) and convection+drift effects (Gil & Mursula 2017).
- Guo & Florinski (2016) pointed out that modulation around CIR is possible only through the perpendicular diffusion effect.
- Ghanbari et al. (2019) proposed that the convection of solar wind does not play a significant role in the vicinity of CIRs and indicated that the GCR intensity is inversely proportional to the perpendicular diffusion coffiecient around CIR.
- Due to the complexity of GCR modulation around CIR future numerical models should be tested on this problem...

## Conclusions

- The amplitudes of the 27-day variations of GCR anisotropy and intensity observed by NMs in the solar minima: 2007-2009 and 2017-2019 are polarity dependent with larger amplitudes for A>0 which confirms a 22-year cyclic pattern reported earlier (e.g. Alania et al. 2005; 2008).
- The amplitudes of the 27-day variations of GCR intensity observed by ACE/CRIS in the solar minima: 2007-2009 and 2017-2019 seem to be NOT polarity dependent.
- GCR modulation effect around CIR for lower energies is much more complicated for spacecraft data (ACE, STEREO and SOHO) and needs further study...

Modzelewska and Gil, Astronomy & Astrophysics, 646, A128 (2021), DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361/202039651, https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202039651

# Thank you!

R. Modzelewska, A. Gil, Recurrence of galactic cosmic-ray intensity and anisotropy in solar minima 23/24 and 24/25 observed by ACE/CRIS, STEREO,SOHO/EPHIN and neutron monitors. Fourier and wavelet analysis, Astronomy & Astrophysics, 646, A128 (2021), DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361/202039651, <u>https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202039651</u>