Particle acceleration at the discontinuous flow boundary of collimated cylindrical jets

Stephen O'Sullivan* (TU Dublin) Andrew Taylor (DESY Zeuthen) Brian Reville (MPIK Heidelberg)

ICRC 2021 - Berlin









Continuous Acceleration in kpc jets - Shearly You're Joking.



Cooling time becomes shorter than advection time

[3c 273 Jester et al., ApJ 2006]





Easy to employ a simple toy model of *non-gradual shear in relativistic jets* (e.g. Ostrowski '90, Rieger Duffy '04, Caprioli '15):

• Top-hat jet profile (Here we adopt $\Gamma_i = 10$)

• Random isotropic scattering in local frame (Here Kolmogorov)

Define $\rho = r_{\rm g}/r_{\rm jet}$

 $ln(t/t_0) = 196$ log₁₀(E/E₀) 1000

500



Easy to employ a simple toy model of *non-gradual shear in relativistic jets* (e.g. Ostrowski '90, Rieger Duffy '04, Caprioli '15):

• Top-hat jet profile (Here we adopt $\Gamma_j = 10$)

• Random isotropic scattering in local frame (Here Kolmogorov)



Define $\rho = r_{\rm g}/r_{\rm jet}$

Return time distribution

Energy boost distribution

 $ln(t/t_0) = 196$ log₁₀(E/E₀) 1000

500



Easy to employ a simple toy model of *non-gradual shear in relativistic jets* (e.g. Ostrowski '90, Rieger Duffy '04, Caprioli '15):

• Top-hat jet profile (Here we adopt $\Gamma_i = 10$)

• Random isotropic scattering in local frame (Here Kolmogorov)



Define $\rho = r_{\rm g}/r_{\rm jet}$



Kolmogorov scattering $t_{\rm sc} \propto E^{1/3}$ Since only one timescale in problem, unsurprisingly $t_{\rm acc} \propto E^{1/3}$



Steady-state spectrum for continuous injection at base of jet



Shear Action - Shear simplicity

Steady-state spectrum for continuous injection at base of jet



Shear Action - Shear simplicity

Highest energy particles accumulate at "head" of jet



Trying to move beyond the simple toy model instantly reveals the issue

Field lines should **not** thread the boundary

Geometric constraints - a shear cliff



$$(\Delta r = r - r_{\rm J})$$

Adopting a physically motivated Ansatz

$$l_c(r) \sim \begin{cases} |\Delta r|, & |\Delta r| < l_c^0, \\ l_c^0, & |\Delta r| \ge l_c^0, \end{cases}$$

- Magnetic field power falls as $|\Delta r|^{q-1}$ as the shear boundary layer is approached.
- l_c^0 is the reference correlation scale of the field
- q is the index of the magnetic power spectrum
- This detail turns out to be the determining factor for explaining the acceleration rate

Shearing through the islands

Swarm Plots 1 - sample trajectories in reduced field model - region with **larger** field patches







Shearing through the islands

Swarm Plots 2 - sample trajectories in reduced field model - region with **smaller** field patches









No more excuses for shear ignorance



Return time distribution

Energy boost distribution

No more excuses for shear ignorance



No more excuses for shear ignorance





Conclusion - Shear Class

- in shear flows is motivated.
- lite description.
- which probe the region very local to the shear boundary.
- may underlie the non-thermal emission from many jets

With observational evidence motivating the operation of effective in situ acceleration along the jet, going beyond toy model for particle acceleration

The (Monte Carlo) prescription commonly used in previous studies, adopting a scattering function for the particles, can only be considered as a physics-

The actual field configuration adopted at the shear flow can (will?) imprint itself on the particle acceleration rate, particularly for low rigidity particles

Shear Acceleration remains a viable candidate for UHECR production, and

Thank-you for your attention

-0.0005

0.0015

0.001

0.0005

O

-0.001

-0.0015 0.9985



