BERGISCHE

A tau scenario application to a search for upward-going showers with the Fluorescence Detector of the Pierre Auger Observatory

I. Caracas¹ for the Pierre Auger collaboration* ¹University of Wuppertal, 42119 Wuppertal, Germany *Full author list at http://www.auger.org/archive/authors icrc 2021.html

Introduction

Recent observations of two coherent radio pulses with the ANITA detector are consistent with steeply upward-going cosmic ray showers with energies of few tenths of an EeV and remain unexplained. The Pierre Auger Observatory has a large exposure to upward-propagating shower-like events, and we have used 14 years of its Fluorescence Detector (FD) data to perform a generic search for such events. The general search for up-going cosmic ray air showers is recast here in terms of a general τ -lepton model.

The goal of this study is accomplished by generating τ -leptons within the Earth and its atmosphere with an intensity dependent on the media density. The zenith angle, location and calorimetric energy of any resulting τ induced air shower are further used to calculate the exposure and upper flux limits. For maximal flexibility, the results are independent of the τ -production scenario, allowing for a straightforward application of these results to the wide range of BSM model which aim to describe the anomalous ANITA events.

Tau Decays Tau Simulations - based on NuTauSim [2] Tau decays are simulated with TAUOLA [4]: all decay branches are Taus generated inside and above Earth up to D_{max} $D_{max} \simeq 26.314 \,\mathrm{km}$ considered. Secondary particles which contribute to air shower energy: (cases 1 - 6) - generated flux (prop to media density): used for $i = e^{+/-}, \pi^{+/-}, \pi^0, K^{+/-}, K^0$ exposure and upper flux limits calculation $E_{\rm sh} = \sum_i E_i(E_{\tau,decay})$ Energy spectrum: $\gamma = -1$ $lg E_{0,\tau}/eV \in [16.5, 20]$ Height of the first interaction is calculated using the zenith angle, average Taus decaying in the FD - FoV (cases 3 and 5): first interaction depth of each secondary, shower injection distance and - used to calculate the expected trigger rate of τ induced air showers atmospheric profile $X_1 = \sum_i X_1^i \cdot \frac{E_i}{E_{\rm sb}}$ FD - FoV - $\begin{bmatrix} \lg E_{sh} / eV \in [16.5, 18.5] \\ H_1 \in [0, 9 \text{ km}] \end{bmatrix}$ $\theta \in [110^{\circ} - 180^{\circ}]$ $D_{min} = -50 \text{ km}$ $H_0 = D_0 \cdot \cos \theta$

Results: Exposure and Upper flux limits to up-going τ showers

$${\mathcal{E}_{ au}}({\mathbf{E_0}}) = rac{{\mathbf{N}_{ ext{trig}}}({\mathbf{E_0}})}{{\mathbf{N}_{ ext{gen}}}({\mathbf{E_0}})} \cdot {\mathbf{2}\pi {\mathbf{S}_{ ext{gen}}} \Delta {\mathbf{T}}}$$

Exposure to *τ*-induced showers vs. τ primary energy: E_{0. τ}



 $N_{\rm obs} = 1 \rightarrow N_{\rm FC,cand}(\rm CL = 95\%) = 4.05$ $\Phi_{ au}^{\mathbf{95\%}}(\mathbf{E_{bin}}) = rac{\mathrm{N_{FC,cand}(E_{bin})}}{\mathcal{E}_{ au}(\mathbf{E_{bin}})}$ background consistent: $N_{bkg} = 0.5$ $N_{
m FC,cand}(E_{bin}) = rac{N_{
m FC,cand}}{nEbins} \cdot rac{\sum_{nEbins} N_{
m cand, au}(E_{bin})}{N_{
m cand, au}(E_{bin})}$ Upper Flux Limits (CI = 95%) for τ -induced showers vs. τ primary energy E_{0. τ} $\theta \in [1100 - 124.20]$ A ∈ [110 - 124 2]



Conclusions

The response of the FD of the Pierre Auger Observatory to up-going τ -induced air showers has been studied. This has been accomplished by simulating τ -leptons with zenith angles in a range of 110°-180° generated both below and above Earth. The τ -leptons generation is agnostic to the production mechanism. The τ -leptons are propagatated through the Earth and followed through the atmosphere until they reach a maximum height of 9 km defined in [3]. All recorded τ -decays in the FD-FoV are then used to estimate the exposure to up-going τ -induced air showers using the double differential exposure of the FD from the general study. This is then translated to upper flux limits to up-going τ showers for two different primary τ energy spectra.

Bibliography arez-Muñiz et al., Phys. Rev. D 97 (2018) 023021 [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 99, 069902 (2019)] [3] Pierre Auger Collaboration, PoS Contribution 827 ICRC2021 (2021) [4] M. Chrzaszcz, T. Przedzinski, Z. Was and J. Zaremba, Comput. Phys. Commun. 232 (2018) 220.



