The Origin of Galactic Cosmic Rays as Revealed by their Composition

Vincent Tatischeff, John C. Raymond, Jean Duprat, Stefano Gabici & Sarah Recchia Paper submitted to MNRAS (arXiv:2106.15581)

37th International Cosmic Ray Conference

12-23 July 2021

On the GCR composition

<u>Refs</u>: Meyer, Drury & Ellison (1997); Ellison, Drury & Meyer (1997)

- Overabundance of elements with Z > 2 relative to H and He (as compared with the solar system composition)
- ⇒ Not necessarily, because CR protons and α -particles have different source spectra than the other elements (e.g. Tatischeff & Gabici 2018)
- 2. Overabundance of refractory elements over volatiles due to the more efficient acceleration of material locked in dust grains
- ⇒ OK, but which dust grains?
- **3.** Overabundance of the heavier volatile elements compared to the lighter ones due to a dependence of the acceleration efficiency on ion rigidity
- ⇒ Confirmed by PIC simulations (Caprioli et al. 2017; Hanusch et al. 2019), but ionisation states in shock precursors? Depends on the ISM phases
- **4.** Overabundance of ²²Ne due to the acceleration of Wolf-Rayet wind material enriched in He-burning products
- ⇒ OK, but how exactly Wolf-Rayet wind material is incorporated in GCRs?

Protons, α -particles and O source spectra

- Fit to Voyager 1 and AMS-02 data using a 1D advection-diffusion model with homogeneous diffusion for the GCR propagation (Evoli et al. 2019)
- Updated cross section database to be published
- Broken power law source spectra from a fit of propagated spectra to the data

| Parameter | Н | Не | 0 |
|--|---|--|--|
| E_{break} $\gamma_{\text{l.e.}}$ $\gamma_{\text{h.e.}}^{a}$ | $10 \pm 2 \text{ GeV/n}$ 4.10 ± 0.03 4.31 | $\begin{array}{r} 200^{+160}_{-120} \text{ MeV/n} \\ 3.98^{+0.08}_{-0.20} \\ 4.21 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 160^{+40}_{-30} \text{ MeV/n} \\ 3.32^{+0.18}_{-0.24} \\ 4.26 \end{array}$ |
| $\chi^2_{\rm min}{}^b$ | 16.0 for 13 d.o.f. ^c | 7.3 for 14 d.o.f. | 5.9 for 12 d.o.f. |

Table 2. CR source spectrum parameters (Eq. 2).

^{*a*} Parameter fixed from Evoli et al. (2019).

^b Minimum χ^2 from a fit of the propagated spectrum to Voyager 1 data.

^c d.o.f.: degrees of freedom.



37th International Cosmic Ray Conference

12-23 July 2021

GCR abundance data



- Abundances from integration of source spectra => the abundances of H and He are similar to those of the other volatiles N, Ne and Ar, provided that the minimum CR source energy is of the order of a few hundred keV/n
- Highly refractory elements Mg, Al, Si, Ca, Fe, Co, and Ni are in solar system proportions => acceleration of various dust grains of the ISM mix

GCR composition model

- Measured GCR source abundances: $C_{\text{mes}}(i) = C_{\text{gas}}(i) + C_{\text{dust}}(i)$
- Dust contribution: $C_{dust}(i) = SC(i)f_d(i)\epsilon_{dust}$ Standard cosmic composition of the ISM (B-type stars + solar system) Elemental fraction in ISM dust Global efficiency factors • Gas contribution: $C_{gas}(i) = SC(i)(1-f_d(i))\epsilon_{gas}(x_w f_w(i)f_{A/Q}^w(i)+(1-x_w))f_{A/Q}^{SC}(i)]$

Contribution
of the Wolf-
Rayet wind
reservoirEnhancement of
element i in the
Wolf-Rayet wind
reservoir $f_{A/Q}^{j}(i)$
where X
the fract
atomic n

 $f_{A/Q}^{j}(i) = (1 - X_{0,i}^{j})A_{i}/Q_{i}^{j}$ where $X_{0,i}^{j}$, A_{i} and Q_{i}^{j} are the fraction of neutral atoms, atomic mass and mean ionic charge in shock precursors

• If the gas reservoir includes several phases of the ISM: $f_{A/Q}^{SC}(i) = \sum_{i} a_k f_{A/Q}^{SC,k}(i)$

• Fitting theoretical abundances to data to derive $\epsilon = \epsilon_{dust}/\epsilon_{gas}$, as well as constraints on the **GCR source reservoirs**

Interstellar dust composition

- Average fraction in dust for each element, $f_d(i)$, from
 - Gas-phase element depletions (Jenkins 2009, 2019; Ritchey et al. 2018)
 - The interstellar dust modeling framework **THEMIS** (Jones et al. 2017)
 - General properties of primitive interplanetary dust



Ionisation states in shock precursors

- <u>Warm ISM</u>: Ionisation states of the WIM and the WNM from absorption/emission line measurements (e.g. Sembach et al. 2000; Madsen et al. 2006)
 + photoionisation precursors mainly produced by He I and He II photons from the thin ionisation zone behind the shock (Ghavamian et al. 2000; Medina et al. 2014)
- **<u>Superbubbles</u>**: collisional ionisation in a hot plasma (negligible photoionisation)
- <u>Stellar winds</u>: photoionisation by the EUV radiation of hot stars + EUV and X-rays from shocks in the winds => heavy elements mostly triply ionised (e.g. Hillier 2020)



GCR ²²Ne from enriched superbubble gas⁸

- GCR ²²Ne/²⁰Ne = 0.317 (Boschini et al. 2020), i.e. ~ 5 times the solar ratio
- Mix of massive star winds and SN ejecta in SB cores? No, ²²Ne/²⁰Ne too low
- Only massive star winds in SB cores? No, ²²Ne/²⁰Ne still too low
- Winds from very massive stars ≥ 40 M_{sol} (e.g. Binns et al. 2008)? Maybe...



GCR ²²Ne from wind termination shocks

Loosely bound star cluster

Wind termination

-20

x (pc)

20

40

shock

- Gupta et al. (2020): WTSs can contribute more than 25% of the CR production in massive star clusters
- ⇒ ²²Ne-rich CR component (see also Kalyashova et al. 2019)
- Time-dependent yields and mass loss rates from the Geneva Observatory database (e.g. Ekström et al. 2012)

60

40

20

-20

-40

- Instantaneous acceleration efficiency in WTS assumed to be proportional to the wind mechanical power
- \Rightarrow ²²Ne/²⁰Ne=1.56 in the accelerated wind compo.



9

Some results of the GCR composition model

- 5 models depending on the relative weights of the ISM phases in the GCR production, and the origin of GCR ²²Ne
- <u>Best-fit model</u>: GCR accelerated in **superbubbles** + 22 Ne-rich component from acceleration in wind **termination shocks** $(x_w \approx 6\%)$



GCR acceleration efficiency



- The efficiency of GCR acceleration can be estimated from the γ -ray luminosity of the Milky Way => $W_p(0.1-100 \text{ GeV}) \approx 7 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg/s}$ (Strong et al. 2010)
- Estimating the mass of gas swept up by interstellar shocks, we get:
 - Efficiency of acceleration of SB gas by SN shocks: $\eta_{\rm SB} \approx (0.4 2.3) \times 10^{-5}$
 - Efficiency of acceleration of wind material by WTSs: $\eta_{\rm wind} \approx 0.8 \ \eta_{\rm SB}$
 - Efficiency of acceleration of GCR refractories from dust grains: $\eta_{dust} \gtrsim 10^{-4}$

Conclusions (see arXiv:2106.15581)

- Measured source abundances of all primary and mostly primary CRs from H to Zr are well explained, including the overabundance of ²²Ne
- No overabundance of elements with Z > 2 relative to H and He, if the minimum CR source energy is of the order a few hundred keV nucleon⁻¹
- $\,\circ\,$ CR volatiles are mostly accelerated in Galactic superbubbles, from SN shocks sweeping up a plasma of $T_{\rm SB}$ > 2.8 MK. SNRs in the warm ISM contribute to the GCR volatile composition for less than 28%
- The overabundance of ²²Ne is due to a small ($x_w \approx 6\%$) contribution of particle acceleration in **wind termination shocks** of massive stars
- The GCR refractories most likely originate from the acceleration and sputtering of dust grains in SNR shocks. They might be produced in superbubbles (SBs) as well, if dust is continuously replenished in SB interior