


The throughput calibration of the VERITAS telescopes

M. Nieves Rosillo^{1,2,3}
For the VERITAS collaboration

mnieves@iac.es

¹ Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, E-38205 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain
² Universidad de La Laguna, Dept. Astrofísica, E-38206 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain
³ Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron (DESY), Platanenallee 6, Zeuthen, Germany



Abstract

Imaging atmospheric Cherenkov telescopes are continuously exposed to varying weather conditions that have short and long-term effects on their response to Cherenkov light from extensive air showers. This work presents the implementation of a throughput calibration method for the VERITAS telescopes taking into account changes in the optical response and detector performance over time. Different methods to measure the total throughput of the instrument, which depend on mirror reflectivities and PMT camera gain and efficiency, are discussed as well as the effect of its evolution on energy thresholds, effective collection areas, and energy reconstruction. The application of this calibration in the VERITAS data analysis chain is discussed, including the validation using Monte Carlo simulations and observations of the Crab Nebula.

Main Objectives

The Very Energetic Radiation Imaging Telescope Array System (VERITAS) is a ground-based very-high-energy (VHE, $E > 100$ GeV) instrument operating in southern Arizona. It consists of four 12 m Imaging Air Cherenkov Telescopes (IACT) with a Davies-Cotton design. The array was commissioned in 2007 [1] and upgraded in 2012 [2].

IACTs are exposed to varying weather conditions that degrade the mirrors. Cameras are usually composed of photo-multiplier tubes (PMT), which work at high voltages and degrade as charge accumulates. This work discusses the monitoring of the VERITAS throughput, the correction of Monte Carlo (MC) simulations and the generation of throughput-calibrated Instrument Response Functions (IRF).

Throughput measurements and calibration

The total telescope throughput correlates with the efficiency of camera and primary mirror reflectivity:

- **PMT gains and quantum efficiency (QE)** affect the conversion of photons into measurable signals in the camera. VERITAS simulations use an average value of the PMT gain G for each telescope (G_{MC}). Uniformly illuminated camera events ('LED Flasher runs' [3]) are collected each night. Their analysis provides gain measurements G which are used to compute a correction term $g = G/G_{MC}$.
- **Reflectivity** is measured using a wide-field CCD camera attached to the primary mirror and a spectralon target plate over the focal plane [4]. Using CCD images of bright stars and their reflection on the spectralon, we estimate the reflectivity of the primary mirror T . The ratio to reflectivities in the MC T_{MC} yields a correction $t = T/T_{MC}$.

The **total throughput** correction (s -factor) is then $s = g \times t$. To make the computation of IRFs feasible, we define instrument periods with an approximately stable response for which we can compute an average s -factor. Calculated for each telescope and period, they allow us to correct the reconstructed PMT signals of the simulated γ -ray events, before

the PMT traces are integrated and shower images cleaned. Figure 1 shows the s -factors for each telescope. Note they do not decrease monotonically since mirrors are occasionally replaced.




Figure 1: Evolution of s -factors for the four VERITAS telescopes

Analysis packages

The VERITAS collaboration maintains two analysis packages: Eventdisplay [5] and VEGAS [6]. While the analysis methods and throughput corrections are similar, we focus on results obtained with Eventdisplay.

Effects of throughput changes on the analysis

The analysis of γ -ray showers is impacted by throughput degradation in two ways:

- Energy reconstruction bias.
- Loss of events at low energies, near the threshold.

Energy reconstruction

VERITAS energy reconstruction is based on lookup tables which encode the dependency of the event energy on size (deposited shower charge), among other parameters. These energy lookup tables are filled with throughput-calibrated MC events and then applied to real data. The effect of throughput evolution on them is presented in Figure 2.




Figure 2: Ratio of throughput-calibrated E_{corr} to uncalibrated E_{orig} reconstructed energies (for telescope T1) as a function of size of the images, fixing all the other parameters involved in the construction of the tables (noise, shower distance, zenith, etc). Three different IRF periods are shown.

Sensitivity and Energy Threshold

Decreased throughput causes loss of events near the energy threshold as signals are unable to pass trigger and analysis cuts. The effect is a worsening of the sensitivity, mostly at low energies, and an increase of the analysis energy threshold. **Differential sensitivity** is defined as the minimum flux (in Crab Units) per energy bin which can be detected in 50 h with a significance of 5σ using Li&Ma statistics [7]. **Energy threshold** is the energy at which the effective area becomes 10% of the maximum effective area of the array. The evolution of both parameters is shown in Figure 3.




Figure 3: **left:** Differential sensitivity. **right:** Energy threshold. They were obtained using Crab Nebula data and for a typical set of analysis cuts.

Reconstruction of the Crab Nebula spectrum

The Crab Nebula is often used as a benchmark source to test the performance of IACTs. It is a bright γ -ray object, visible from both hemispheres and its spectrum extends throughout the entire VHE band with no significant flux variability observed. Figure 4 shows the reconstructed spectrum of the Crab Nebula in two campaigns with different telescope throughput. As it is seen from the figure, throughput-calibration of VERITAS MCs and the corresponding IRF allows us to recover nominal fluxes from the Crab Nebula.




Figure 4: Reconstructed spectra of the Crab Nebula with VERITAS. Blue open points show the spectrum obtained without throughput calibration while red filled points are the reconstructed spectrum when the MCs and IRFs are calibrated. For reference, Fermi-LAT's 4FGL [8] spectral points for the Crab Nebula are shown as open purple circles and the VERITAS Crab Spectrum presented in [9] is shown in orange.

Conclusions

- IACT are subject to varying weather conditions which degrade mirrors and PMTs.
- Throughput calibration is essential to correctly reconstruct γ -ray shower parameters.
- The monitoring of throughput in VERITAS is presented together with corrections applied to simulated events.
- The impact of throughput variations in VERITAS performance is evaluated using real observations from the Crab Nebula, a standard candle in VHE γ -ray astrophysics.
- The method works well and is now standard in VERITAS.

References

- [1] F. Krennrich et al. JPCS, 60, p34-39, 2007.
- [2] D. Kieda et al. ICRC 2011, 9, p14, 2011.
- [3] D. Hanna et al. NIMRA, 612(2):278-287, 2010.
- [4] S. Archambault et al. Astropart.Phys, 128:102556, 2021.
- [5] G. Maier and J. Holder. ICRC 2017, 301, p747, 2017.
- [6] P. Cogan et al. ICRC 2008, 3, p1385-1388, 2008.
- [7] T. P. Li and Y. Q. Ma. ApJ, 272:317-324, 1983.
- [8] S. Abdollahi et al. ApJS, 247(1):33, 2020.
- [9] K. Meagher et al. ICRC 2015, 34, p 792, 2015.

Acknowledgements

This research is supported by grants from the U.S. DoE, the NSF, and the Smithsonian, by NSERC in Canada, and by the Helmholtz Association in Germany. We also gratefully acknowledge the excellent work of our technical support staff.