## A simulation study of galactic proton modulation from solar minimum to maximum conditions
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## Abstrac

The observation of various cosmic ray particles at the Earth had been done with the PAMELA The observation or detector for almost 10 years, from June 2006 to tarth had been done with the PAMELA space detector for almost 10 years, from June 2006 to January 2016 . The AMS-02 space ex-
periment provides similar cosmic ray data. The purpose of this work is to utilize the available state-of-the-art numerical modulation model for the transport of cosmic rays in the heliosphere to compute the modulation of galactic protons from minimum to maximum solar activity. These modeling results, which simulate realistic heliospheric conditions, are compared to proton observations from PAMELA taken between 2006 and 2010 and to similar AMS-02 observations afte 2011. It will be shown how differently modulation mechanisms influence the time-evolution of reversal of the polarity of the heliospheric magnetic field.

## Introduction

This work focuses on studying the modulation of galactic protons from solar minimum to maxi mum conditions. A 3D numerical code, including all four major modulation processes, is applied to simulate galactic protons spectra between 2006 and 2017 . The primary objective of the study to the elements of the diffusion and drift tensor in the relevant transport equation in order to re produce GCR observations at Earth. This work discusses element of diffusion coefficient parallel to the heliospheric magnetic field. To achieve this objective this study take advantage of simultaneous and continuous observations of galactic protons from the PAMELA [3] and AMSO2 [2]. The computed spectra are directly compared to the observations to determine relevant adjustmen

## Model

The model is based on the numerical solution of Parker's [1] transport equation (TPE):

$$
-\left(\mathbf{V}+\left\langle\mathbf{v}_{D}\right\rangle\right) \cdot \nabla f+\nabla \cdot\left(\mathbf{K}_{s} \cdot \nabla f\right)+\frac{1}{3}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}) \frac{\partial f}{\partial \ln P}+J_{\text {source }}=0,
$$

where $f(\mathbf{r}, P)$ is the cosmic ray distribution function; $P$ is rigidity, $\mathbf{r}$ is position, and $\mathbf{V}$ is the sola wind velocity. The terms from left to right represent convection caused by expanding solar wind, gradient, curvature and HCS drifts, diffusion, adiabatic energy changes and a source function (in our case equal to zero), respectively. The diffusion tensor $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{s}}$ consists of a parallel diffusion coefficient, $K_{\|}$, and two perpendicular diffusion coefficients in the radial direction $K_{\perp r}$ and in the polar direction $K_{\perp \theta}$.
magnitude $B$, is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\|}=\left(K_{\|}\right)_{0} \beta\left(\frac{B_{0}}{B}\right)\left(\frac{P}{P_{0}}\right)^{c_{1}}\left[\frac{\left(\frac{P}{P_{o}}\right)^{c_{3}}+\left(\frac{P_{k}}{P_{o} c_{3}}\right)^{\frac{c_{2 \|} \|_{1} c_{1}}{c_{3}}}}{1+\left(\frac{P_{k}}{P_{o} c_{3}}\right.}\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(K_{\|}\right)_{0}$ is a scaling parameter in units of $10^{22} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, with $P_{0}=1.0 \mathrm{GV}$ and $B_{0}=1.0$ nT . The constants $c$ as power indices, provide for two power laws and $P_{k}$ specifies the rigidity at which the transition between the two power laws occurs
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Figure 1. The rigidity dependence of $K_{\|}$, indicating the two power-aw slopes, at Earth as the corresponding parallel mean free paths (MFP; $\lambda$ ) for four selected times $[$ for
particle speed and $\beta=v / c$, with $c$ the speed of light.


Figure 2. Top panel shows the tilt angle $\alpha$ of the HCS (black line) at the Earth from January 2005 to June 2017 Figure 2. Top panel shows the tita angle $\alpha$ of the HCS (black line) at the Earth from January 2005 to June 2017
taken from htp:///wso.stantord.edu along with 17 Carrington rotation (15 months) moving averages (red dot
Bottom panel shows the magnitude of the HM F at the Earth (black line) for the same period taken from Bottom panel shows the magnitude of the HMF at the Earth (black line) for the same period taken from
http://omniweb.ssfc.nasasagov, long with 17 Bartels (15 months) moving averages (red dots). Shaded portions indicate the estimateded period of the polarity reversal of the HMF which is considered a period of no well-defined

Simulated Spectra

${ }^{10}$ Rigidity (GV)
Figure 3 . Moduluated proton spectra (coloured lines) computed with respect to the very LS at 122 au for protons (upper black solid line) during periods of different solar activity for selected times as indicated, and compared to

## Power indices and constant

To achieve spectra compatible to observation as shown in Figure 3 , a different rigidity dependence had to be assumed, as shown in the first figure 1, by changing the value of $\left(K_{\|}\right)$and $c_{1}$ in Eq 1 with time as shown in Table 1 for the years from 2011 to 2017. here indicated in six months intervals.

| Parameter | $2011 a$ | $2012 a$ | $2013 a$ | $2014 a$ | $2015 a$ | $2016 a$ | $2017 a$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CR | 2426 | 2440 | 2453 | 2467 | 2480 | 2494 | 2506 |
| $c_{1}$ | 0.81 | 0.90 | 1.01 | 1.16 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.0 |
| $\left(K_{\\|}\right)_{0}$ | 51.443 | 45.743 | 33.021 | 27.460 | 30.240 | 50.262 | 68.128 |
| Parameter | 2011 b | 2012 b | 2013 b | 2014 b | 2015 b | 2016 b |  |
| CR | 2433 | 2447 | 2460 | 2474 | 2487 | 2501 |  |
| $c_{1}$ | 0.87 | 1.01 | 1.14 | 1.10 | 1.28 | 1.14 |  |
| $\left(K_{\\|}\right)_{0}$ | 49.150 | 38.166 | 26.764 | 32.326 | 41.363 | 64.304 |  |

Table 1. Power indices and constants used in Eq. 1 to reproduce the observed proton spectra for every six-months
period of each year, from 2011 to 2017: CR indicates Carrington rotation.

Summary and Conclusion
A 3D numerical model has been applied to compute proton spectra that in all respects are compatible with PAMELA and AMSO2 observations. It follows from this comparison of simulated patible with PAMELA and AMSO2 observations. It follows from this comparison of simulated
spectra with precise observations how the rigidity dependence of the parallel diffusion coeff-

