

ONLINE ICRC 2021 THE ASTROPARTICLE PHYSICS CONFERENCE



Berlin | Germany

Constraining LIV using the muon content of extensive air showers

Caterina Trimarelli for the Pierre Auger Collaboration



For the first time, LIV effects have been studied using the muon fluctuation of extensive air showers measured at the Pierre Auger Observatory!

> New Limits on LIV parameters have been derived

How to break Lorentz Invariance

Modified dispersion relation

$$E^{2} - p^{2} = m^{2} + f(\overrightarrow{p}, M_{Pl}; \eta) \longrightarrow E^{2} - p^{2} = m^{2} + \sum_{n=0}^{N} \eta^{(n)} \frac{p^{n+2}}{M_{Pl}^{n}}$$

Where $\eta^{(n)}$ is a dimensionless constant and is called LIV parameter. It depends on the secondary and the primary particle.

Leading order
n=1:
$$E^2 - p^2 = m^2 + \eta^{(1)} \frac{p^3}{M_{Pl}}$$
 Nuclei: $E^2_{A,Z} - p^2_{A,Z} = m^2_{A,Z} + \eta^{(1)}_{A,Z} \frac{p^3_{A,Z}}{M_{Pl}}$
With $\eta_A = \eta/A^2$

We consider the right-hand side of the modified dispersion relation as a new mass:

$$m_{\rm LIV}^2 = m^2 + \eta^{(n)} \frac{p^{n+2}}{M_{\rm Pl}^n}$$

can define the Lorentz factor as: $\gamma_{\rm LIV} = \frac{E}{m_{\rm LIV}}$ In terms of the lifetime τ of particles: $\tau = \gamma_{\rm LIV} \tau_0$

INTRODUCTION

LIV in EAS MC Simulations Muon Fluctuation PARAMETERIZATION CONCLUSIONS

We

How to break Lorentz Invariance



We consider the right-hand side of the modified dispersion relation as a new mass:

$$m_{\rm LIV}^2 = m^2 + \eta^{(n)} \frac{p^{n+2}}{M_{\rm Pl}^n}$$

We can define the Lorentz factor as: $\gamma_{\text{LIV}} = \frac{E}{m_{\text{LIV}}}$

In terms of the lifetime au of particles: $au=\gamma_{
m LIV} au_0$

INTRODUCTION LIV in EAS MC Simulations

Muon Fluctuation PARAMETERIZATION CONCLUSIONS

 $\eta^{(n)}$ assumes both positive and negative values!



C. TRIMARELLI



What to expect...

 $\eta < 0$

- For increasing energy π^0 begins to interact;
- After the critical point (where $M_{\rm LIV} = 0$) the decay $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ is forbidden;



Decrease in the electromagnetic component

INTRODUCTION

LIV in EAS MC Simulations

Muon Fluctuation PARAMETERIZATION CONCLUSIONS

 $\eta > 0$

• Negligible effects are produced

First order: $\eta_{\gamma}^{(1)} > -1.2 \cdot 10^{-10}$ (R. G. Lang, H. Martìnez-Huerta and V. De Souza 2018);

Second order: $-10^{-3} < \eta_{\pi}^2 < 10^{-1}$ (Maccione et al. 2009).

CONEX shower simulation

Lorentz Invariant case & in presence of LIV

Shower Simulation Options:

Primary particles: H, He, N, Si, Fe;

Primary particle energy: 10¹⁴-10²¹ eV;

Zenith angle: $\theta = 70^{\circ}$;



21 energy bins of width $\Delta \log_{10}(E/eV) = 0.25$ ranging from 10^{14} to 10^{21} ;

Hadronic interaction model: EPOS LHC-LIV, QGSJETII-04.

in presence of LIV

LIV parameter η :

- 1st order: $\eta = -10^{-1}$, -10^{-3} , -10^{-4} , -10^{-5} , -10^{-6} , $-5 \cdot 10^{-7}$, -10^{-7} , -10^{-8} - 2nd order: $\eta = -1$, -10^{-1} , -10^{-2} , -10^{-3}

A number of 5000 events has been simulated for each primary particle for definite energy intervals.

LIV effects on air shower development



2. Reduction in the normalization

- Due to a change in the number of muons at the ground;
- The calorimetric energy deposited in the atmosphere in the presence of LIV is lower than the standard one.

MUON CONTENT DISTRIBUTION

LIV effects on air shower development





- Due to a change in the number of muons at the ground;
- The calorimetric energy deposited in the atmosphere in the presence of LIV is lower than the standard one.

MUON CONTENT DISTRIBUTION



MUON CONTENT DISTRIBUTION



MUON CONTENT DISTRIBUTION



MUON CONTENT DISTRIBUTION



Considering the dependence of the decrease of the relative fluctuations on the different LIV strengths, a new bound for the LIV parameter can be obtained



Looking for... the most conservative relative Fluctuations

- 1. **Effects of the different composition scenarios:** The mixture of the two components p and Fe gives the maximum value of relative fluctuations.
- 2. Define $\frac{\sigma_{\mu}}{\langle N_{\mu} \rangle} = \frac{\sqrt{\sigma^2(N_{\mu})_{\text{mix}}(\alpha;\eta)}}{\langle N_{\mu} \rangle_{\text{mix}}(\alpha;\eta)}$ $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \alpha \text{ is the fraction of proton} \\ \alpha \text{ is the fraction of iron} \end{pmatrix}$
- 3. Parametrize as function of η and energy $\langle N_{\mu} \rangle_{p}$, $\langle N_{\mu} \rangle_{Fe'} \sigma(N_{\mu})_p$ and $\sigma(N_{\mu})_{Fe'}$



Looking for... the most conservative relative Fluctuations

- 1. **Effects of the different composition scenarios:** The mixture of the two components p and Fe gives the maximum value of relative fluctuations.
- 2. Define $\frac{\sigma_{\mu}}{\langle N_{\mu} \rangle} = \frac{\sqrt{\sigma^2(N_{\mu})_{\text{mix}}(\alpha;\eta)}}{\langle N_{\mu} \rangle_{\text{mix}}(\alpha;\eta)}$ $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \alpha \text{ is the fraction of proton} \\ \alpha \text{ is the fraction of iron} \end{pmatrix}$
- 3. Parametrize as function of η and energy $\langle N_{\mu} \rangle_{p'} \langle N_{\mu} \rangle_{Fe'} \sigma(N_{\mu})_p$ and $\sigma(N_{\mu})_{Fe'}$



The maximum wrt α curve is always above the curves given by any other α combinations

Only if the fluctuations stand below the data the $\max_{\alpha} \frac{\sigma_{\mu}}{\langle N_{\mu} \rangle}$ is the most conservative LIV model

PARAMETERIZATION CONCLUSIO<u>NS</u>

Maximum Mixed Relative Fluctuations

for any LIV parameter value we can calculate the most conservative LIV relative fluctuations as a function of the energy without repeating any shower simulation



INTRODUCTION LIV in EAS MC Simulations Muon Fluctuation Constraining LIV CONCLUSIONS

C. TRIMARELLI

Most conservative Mixed Relative Fluctuations



10

LIV in EAS

Most conservative Mixed Relative Fluctuations



Continuous confidence levels to exclude LIV models

11

INTRODUCTION

LIV in EAS MC Simulations Muon Fluctuation Constraining LIV

CONCLUSIONS

Most conservative Mixed Relative Fluctuations





- ✤ For the first time LIV effects have been studied considering muon fluctuations;
- Using the parameterization we obtain the muon fluctuation as a function of energy without shower simulations;
- We found α that corresponds to the most conservative H-Fe mixed case;
- Using the parameterization we obtained a new bound for LIV parameter values;
 Future prospects
- A similar approach using the minimum of the relative fluctuation with respect to *α* could lead to the definition of a negative upper bound of the LIV parameter;
- ✤ We will use the overall procedure for LIV at 2nd order;
- Limits on η parameter could be found through a combined analysis considering simultaneously muon content distribution and the mass composition derived from the X_{max} measurements;
- Future works will involve other hadronic interaction models as QGSJETII-04 and SiBYLL 2.3c
 <u>but</u> we expect EPOS-LHC LIV model represents <u>the most conservative case</u>!

Thank you for your attention!

