A model-driven search for extreme BL Lacs among LAT BCU

M. Nievas Rosillo, 1,2,3 G. Chiaro, 4,5 A. Domínguez,⁶ G. La Mura⁷ on behalf of the Fermi-LAT collaboration

mnievas@iac.es

Abstract

The emission of very-high-energy photons (VHE, E>100 GeV) in active galactic nuclei (AGN) is closely connected with the production of ultrarelativistic particles. Among AGN, the subclass of extreme BL Lacertae are of particular interest because they challenge state-of-art models on how these cosmic particle accelerators operate. By cross-matching two gamma-ray catalogs (this is, 4FGL-DR2 and 2BIGB), we identified 23 high-synchrotron-peaked (HSP) blazar candidates with photometric or spectroscopic redshifts, good multiwavelength coverage, that are possibly detectable by VHE instruments. We performed a new analysis of Fermi Large Area Telescope data including the effects of attenuation from the extragalactic background light and complemented these results by collecting multiwavelength data from optical, radio and X-ray archival observations. Their broadband spectral energy distributions were interpreted in terms of synchrotron-self-Compton models with external-Compton components and compared with the properties of prototypical extreme HSP blazars. Finally, we test their detectability with imaging atmospheric Cherenkov telescopes (IACTs) and propose a new method for selecting these extreme targets for these ground-based telescopes.

Main Objectives

Blazars, radio-loud AGNs whose jet is closely aligned to us, can be classified according to the synchrotron peak frequency [1]:

- 1) low-synchrotron-peaked (LSP, $\nu_{\text{peak}}^S < 10^{14} \,\text{Hz}$)
- 2) intermediate-synchrotron-peaked (ISP, $\nu_{\text{peak}}^S \in [10^{14}, 10^{15}] \text{ Hz})$
- 3) high-synchrotron-peaked (HSP, $\nu_{\text{peak}}^S \in [10^{15}, 10^{17}] \text{ Hz})$
- 4) extreme high-synchrotron-peaked (EHSP, $\nu_{\text{peak}}^S > 10^{17} \,\text{Hz}$)

The extragalactic VHE sky is dominated by HSP and EHSP BL Lacs. ISP/LSP BL Lacs and FSRQs are more common in the HE ($E > 100 \,\mathrm{MeV}$) band. Here we suggest a method to efficiently extract EHSP blazars from Fermi-LAT unclassified sources based on catalog matching plus modeling of SEDs.

Materials and Methods

Source selection: Done by crossing the 4LAC-DR2 [2] and 2BIGB [3] catalogs to look for good blazar candidates of unknown type (BCU) based on photometric properties.

4FGL Name	RAJ2000	DEJ2000	z	TS	FOM	Index	VarIndex	FracVar
J0132.7-0804	23.183	-8.074	0.148	88	0.8	1.9	5.54	
J0212.2-0219	33.066	-2.319	0.250	61	0.8	2.2	16.70	0.47 ± 0.29
J0350.4-5144	57.613	-51.743	~ 0.32	98	0.8	1.8	11.62	0.32 ± 0.36
J0515.5-0125	78.891	-1.419	~ 0.25	55	0.8	2.1	13.04	0.32 ± 0.31
J0526.7-1519	81.692	-15.321	$\sim \! 0.21$	218	1.6	2.0	8.21	
J0529.1+0935	82.297	9.597	~ 0.30	86	1.3	2.1	12.81	0.23 ± 0.26
J0557.3-0615	89.344	-6.265	~ 0.29	53	1.6	2.0	7.08	
J0606.5-4730	91.642	-47.504	0.030	137	1.0	2.0	17.90	0.39 ± 0.20
J0647.0-5138	101.773	-51.638	~ 0.22	81	2.5	1.8	17.03	0.32 ± 0.40
J0733.4+5152	113.362	51.880	0.065	162	2.5	1.8	12.43	0.26 ± 0.30
J0847.0-2336	131.757	-23.614	0.059	921	0.8	2.0	14.72	0.14 ± 0.09
J0953.4-7659	148.367	-76.993	~ 0.25	104	0.8	2.0	5.88	
J0958.1-6753	149.534	-67.894	~0.21	29	1.0	2.2	12.73	0.46 ± 0.51
J1132.2-4736	173.056	-47.613	~ 0.21	129	1.0	2.0	11.47	0.26 ± 0.22
J1447.0-2657	221.765	-26.962	~ 0.32	46	2.0	2.0	6.81	
J1714.0-2029	258.522	-20.486	~ 0.09	110	2.0	1.6	24.95	0.59 ± 0.28
J1824.5+4311	276.126	43.196	0.487	99	0.8	1.8	8.17	
J1934.3-2419	293.582	-24.326	~ 0.23	63	1.6	1.8	11.21	
J1944.4-4523	296.101	-45.393	~ 0.21	164	1.0	1.7	14.32	0.22 ± 0.32
J2001.9-5737	300.491	-57.631	~ 0.26	123	0.8	2.1	2.94	
J2142.4+3659	325.602	36.986	~ 0.24	110	1.3	2.0	13.35	0.25 ± 0.29
J2246.7-5207	341.682	-52.126	0.194	95	2.5	1.7	19.10	0.57 ± 0.30
J2251.7-3208	342.944	-32.140	0.246	52	2.0	1.8	11.20	0.28 ± 0.49

¹ Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, E-38205 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

² Universidad de La Laguna, Dept. Astrofísica, E-38206 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

³ Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron (DESY), Platanenallee 6, Zeuthen, Germany

⁴ Institute of Space Astrophysics and Cosmic Physics IASF / INAF, Via A.Corti 12, I-20133 Milano Italy ⁵ Consorzio Interuniversitario per la Fisica Spaziale CIFS, Via Pietro Giuria, 1, 10125 Torino IT

⁶ IPARCOS and Department of EMFTEL, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, E-28040 Madrid, Spain

⁷ Lab. de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas. LIP, Av. Prof. Gama Pinto 2, 1649-003 Lisboa, Portugal

Redshifts: Extracted from the 4LAC-DR2 and complemented using [4] and 2BIGB's photometric redshifts.

Fermi-LAT's γ -ray data analysis: We matched 4LAC-DR2's exposure and took into account the interaction between γ -rays and Extragalactic Background Light (EBL).

Search for MW counterparts: Based on SSDC's SED builder: https://tools.ssdc.asi.it/SED/. We looked for archival data from radio to X-rays to complement our γ -ray spectra. The resulting SED is then modeled using the jetset

Detectability in the VHE band: We generated and analysed simulated VHE γ -ray samples using CTA's Prod3b response functions (5 h exposure, similar to the performance of current IACTs in 50 h, Fig. 1).



Figure 1: Sensitivities of various γ -ray instruments in operation and planned.

Properties of the selected BCU sample



Figure 2: Left: Spectral index vs Flux in the Fermi-LAT band for: LAC-DR2 sources (density color coded brown tones), 2BIGB BL Lacs (blue) and our targets (green stars); **right:** K-corrected γ -ray luminosity vs redshift for FSRQs (red crosses), BL Lacs (blue diamonds) and other extragalactic objects (open orange circles).

BL Lacs have statistically harder γ -ray spectra and are less luminous than FSRQs. We created diagnostic plots (Fig. 2) to

put our sources in the context of blazars detected by *Fermi-LAT*. The result is that our targets tend to have intermediate redshifts $(0.05 \leq z \leq 0.30)$, hard spectra as BL Lacs but low fluxes and luminosities ($L_{\gamma} \lesssim 10^{45} \, \mathrm{erg/s}$).

Broadband SED modeling

Non-thermal low-energy component: One-zone leptonic scenario with emission originating in a spherical plasma region of radius R embedded in the blazar jet. Its distance to the nucleus is R_H and its magnetic field strength B. Electrons in the plasma are assumed to have a broken power-law spectrum with indices p_1 between Lorentz factors γ_{\min} and γ_{br} and high-energy index p_2 between $\gamma_{\rm br}$ and $\gamma_{\rm max}$.

High energy emission: Due to inverse Compton scattering from the same population of electrons on both synchrotron radiation and, if present, the infrared radiation from a dusty torus.

Thermal radiation: A clear excess in the optical band is visible for all the sources, and assumed to be host emission from a giant elliptical galaxy. We model it as a black body with effective temperature $T_{eff,Host}$ and luminosity L_{Host} . For sources with a dusty torus, we assume a characteristic size R_{DT} , opacity τ_{DT} and effective temperature T_{DT} .

Modeling results

The resulting SEDs for 8 of the 23 sources are shown in Fig. 3.



Spectral fitting: Done using jetset. Some parameters are fixed to typical values: $\gamma_{min} = 1$, $\Gamma = 20$, $R = 1 \times 10^{16}$ cm, $R_H = 2 \times 10^{18} \,\mathrm{cm}.$

Conclusions

- lower limit).

References

Figure 3: SEDs for 8 of the 23 sources considered in this work. Green open square markers represent archival data, purple and blue open circles show the Fermi-LAT analysis results (using a LogParabola and PowerLaw with exponential absorption shapes respectively, both absorbed by EBL) and red stars show the simulated 5h exposure observations with CTA, as a proxy for observations with existing IACTs in 50 h. Finally, the different curves show the different components of the resulting model.

• Out of 23 candidates, 16 classified as EHSPs and 7 as HSPs. • One zone leptonic models are enough to fit all spectra. Expected host emission common in EHSPs, but unexpected hints of torus-like excess in IR for some.

• 3 sources exhibit extreme synchrotron peak frequencies, $\nu_{SP} \gtrsim 10^{18}$ Hz: J0733.4+5152, J1447.0-2657, J2251.7-3208. Only the first and the last have enough X-ray data constraining the peak position, while for J1447.0-2657 it was constrained mainly from the Fermi-LAT spectrum (therefore a

• Only J0847.0-2336 and J1714.0-2029 are promising VHE candidates. They are both EHSPs, none with extreme synchrotron peaks, $\log_{10} \nu_{SP} \sim 17.2$. Both have very low magnetization ($B \sim 10^{-4} \,\mathrm{G}$), strong host emission and no IR torus.

[1] A. A. Abdo et al. ApJ, 716(1):30–70, 2010. [2] M. Ajello et al. ApJ, 892(2):105, 2020. [3] B. Arsioli et al. MNRAS, 493(2):2438–2451, 2020. [4] P. Goldoni et al. page arXiv:2012.05176, 2020. [5] A. Tramacere. ascl:2009.001, https://github.com/ andreatramacere/jetset, 2020. [6] J. Knödlseder et al. A&A, 593:A1, 2016.