### Radio Morphing: Towards a fast computation of air-shower radio emission



Simon Chiche (IAP), Olivier Martineau (LPNHE), Kumiko Kotera (IAP), Matias Tueros (IFLP), Krijn de Vries (VUB)

Simon Chiche – Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris

### Fast computation of radio signals from air-showers needed

#### Radio signal from atmospheric extensive air-showers



Geomagnetic emission

Askaryan emission

Macroscopic approach (Holt et al., Scholten et al.)

Analytical: **Fast** but many free parameters

**Microscopic approach** (Huege et al., Alvarez-Muñiz, et al.)

Monte Carlo simulations: Accurate but computationally demanding

Huge number of simulations needed for upcoming large scale radio experiments (GRAND, Auger Prime, RNO-G, Ice Cube Gen2 radio)

We need a fast and accurate tool to model the radio emission: Radio Morphing

Universality of air-shower (Giller et al., Góra et al.)

Idea: we can use one single Monte Carlo simulation as a reference shower to derive the electric field from any other shower



- The scaling relies on simple physical principles of electromagnetism
- Hadronic interactions are only computed once (for the reference showers)

# Gain in computation time of several orders of magnitude

#### Aim: To infer the radio signal from any air-shower at any position



Version 1 (1811.01750, Zilles et al.) with limitations

Improvements: Scaling with  $\theta$ , shower-to-shower fluctuations, time traces interpolation

#### Aim: To infer the radio signal from any air-shower at any position



Version 1 (1811.01750, Zilles et al.) with limitations

Improvements: Scaling with  $\theta$ , shower-to-shower fluctuations, time traces interpolation

#### Aim: To infer the radio signal from any air-shower at any position



Improvements: Scaling with  $\theta$ , shower-to-shower fluctuations, time traces interpolation

### Scaling with the zenith angle



Vertical showers should develop in denser atmosphere than inclined showers

Additionally we have:

$$E_{\text{geo}} = E_{\text{geo}}(\rho)$$
 and  $E_{\text{ce}} = E_{\text{ce}}(\rho)$ 

We need  $E_{\rm geo}$  and  $E_{\rm ce}$  dependency with air-density!



### Geomagnetic and charge excess dependency with air-density

11000 ZHAireS simulations with various energy and arrival directions

Along the  $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}$  baseline of antennas we have:  $E_{\text{geo}} = E_{v \times B}$  and  $E_{\text{ce}} = E_{v \times v \times B}$ 

Reconstruction of the radiated energy  $E_{rad} =$ 

$$E_{\rm rad} = \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^\infty |E|^2 r dr \quad -> E_{\rm rad, geo}, E_{\rm rad, ce}$$

### Geomagnetic and charge excess dependency with air-density

11000 ZHAireS simulations with various energy and arrival directions

Along the  $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}$  baseline of antennas we have:  $E_{\text{geo}} = E_{v \times B}$  and  $E_{\text{ce}} = E_{v \times v \times B}$ 

Reconstruction of the radiated energy 
$$E_{\rm rad} = \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^\infty |E|^2 r dr -> E_{\rm rad, geo}, E_{\rm rad, ce}$$



### Test of the scaling procedure

**5 showers** Reference library:  $\mathscr{C}^r = 3.98 \text{ EeV}, \ \phi^r = 90^\circ \text{ (West)}, \ \theta^r = [67.8, 74.8, 81.3, 83.9, 86.5]$ 

**1200 target showers**:  $\mathscr{E}^t = [0.1 - 4] \text{ EeV}, \phi^t = [0 - 360], \theta^t = [60 - 90]$ 

comparison to ZHAireS simulations with corresponding parameters



Mean relative differences between radiated energies of ~ 10%!

### Shower-to-shower fluctuations

Hadronic interactions are not computed with Radio Morphing: we have to model shower-to-shower fluctuations

- Parametrization of  $X_{\rm max}$  and  $\sigma_{\rm xmax}$  from ZHAireS simulations

With ZHAireS we find  $\sigma_{\!N_{e^{+-}}}\approx 0.1N_{e^{+-}} -> \sigma_{\!\mathscr{E}_t}\approx 0.1\mathscr{E}_t$ 

We generate  $X_{\max}$  and  $\mathcal{E}^t$  using a gaussian distribution

### 2D interpolation of the radio signal

#### We want to infer the radio signal at any position in space

Linear interpolation from https://arxiv.org/pdf/2008.06454.pdf (Tueros, Zilles, 2020)



Fourier space: Electric field time traces decomposed into a phase  $\varphi$  and an amplitude  $\mathscr{A}$  interpolated independently

- Timing accuracy of a fraction of nanosecond
- Relative differences on the peak amplitude of a few percent when outside of the Cerenkov cone

### 2D interpolation of the radio signal

#### We want to infer the radio signal at any position in space

Linear interpolation from https://arxiv.org/pdf/2008.06454.pdf (Tueros, Zilles, 2020)



Fourier space: Electric field time traces decomposed into a phase  $\varphi$  and an amplitude  $\mathscr{A}$  interpolated independently

- Timing accuracy of a fraction of nanosecond
- Relative differences on the peak amplitude of a few percent when outside of the Cerenkov cone

### 3D extrapolation: correcting for propagation effects

Aim: extrapolate the radio signal at any position along the shower axis



We have to correct for propagation

3D extrapolation after scaling



**Relative difference with ZHAireS on the peak amplitude and the integral** < 5%

### 3D extrapolation: correcting for propagation effects

Aim: extrapolate the radio signal at any position along the shower axis



We have to correct for propagation

#### Dilution of the radio signal



3D extrapolation after scaling



Relative difference with ZHAireS on the peak amplitude and the integral < 5%

### 3D extrapolation: correcting for propagation effects

Aim: extrapolate the radio signal at any position along the shower axis



We have to correct for propagation

#### Dilution of the radio signal

3D extrapolation after scaling



Relative difference with ZHAireS on the peak amplitude and the integral < 5%

### Radio Morphing results

## Test of the whole Radio Morphing process (scaling + 3D extrapolation) for 1200 cosmic-ray air-shower simulations

Mean and RMS of relative differences with ZHAireS simulations on the peak amplitude

Distribution of errors on the peak amplitude at the antenna level



Mean relative differences on the peak amplitude between pprox10% to 20%

91% of antennas with relative differences < 10%

### Conclusion

#### Radio Morphing: A fast and accurate tool for air-shower radio signals computation



#### Performances (compared with Monte-Carlo simulations)

- Accuracy: relative differences on the peak amplitude < 10% for 91% of antennas
- Computation time: gain of 2 orders of magnitude

#### Next steps

- Include Askaryan emission in the scaling with  $\Phi$
- Enable to use an input value for Earth magnetic field

Even more accurate and universal method