# **Cimulation Study of the Observed Radio Emission** Of Air Showers by the IceTop Surface Enhancement ISF

### Alan Coleman for the IceCube Collaboration

### Air Shower Simulation

IceTop, the cosmic ray detector of the IceCube Neutrino Observatory, is located on the ice at the South Pole. It will be enhanced by adding scintillator panels and radio The antennas, most relevant for this work, will allow us to make calorimetric measurements of the electromagnetic energy of air showers and the depth of shower maximum, Xmax. We performed a simulation m study of the array to characterize its performance, once completed.

The first step is to include the detector response to the electric fields calculated using the CoREAS simulation code. The electric fields are folded with all relevant hardware:

- Antenna response model, simulated (50-350 MHz)
- Low noise amplifier, simulated
- LMR400 cables, 50 m, measured
- Analog amplifiers/filters and digitizer, measured



 $10^{16}$ 

 $10^{16}$ 



### **Reconstruction Efficiency**

Used a library of proton and iron air-shower simulations -- Sibyll2.3d, South Pole April atmosphere -- Azimuth angles chosen to maximize/minimize geomagnetic angle

- -- Zenith angles spaced 17 deg
- -- Cores chosen randomly in a circle with 400 m radius

SImulated external scintillator trigger

- -- Require >0.5 MIP signal in >2 panels to trigger a station
- -- Only use waveforms from triggered stations

Calculated reconstruction efficiency as a function of energy -- Add measured noise to simulated waveforms and apply filter

- -- Calculate SNR for each waveform, keep if above 41.4 -- Reconstruct arrival direction using a plane shower front,
- require < 5 deg from true direction



Surface Array Enhancement

## **Background Noise Filters**

All radio measurement have to contend with the background emission both from radio-frequency interference (RFI) and diffuse emission from extra-terrestrial sources. The background frequency spectrum, as measured by the prototype station at the Pole, is shown below in blue. The general amplitude is consistent with diffuse emission from (extra)galactic sources, as described by the Cane model. There are additional RFI peaks at, for example, 250 MHz.

We constructed a frequency-weighting method to remove these RFI peaks using the average CR frequency spectrum, after convolving the response of the electronics. The weights are given by the ratio of the CR frequency spectrum with the local background.



### Comparison to Measured Data

The prototype station has already observed several air showers, determined via the agreement of the timestamps and arrival directions when compared to IceTop. We simulated an air shower with initial conditions as given by the IceTop-reconstructed core, direction, and energy. The resultant waveforms are shown below for each channel.









# SNR = Signal Peak Noise RMS

# Conclusions

IceCube Preliminary

We developed a code to propagate simulations of the electric field created in air showers with the response functions of the IceTop Surface Enhancement hardware.

Time / ns

SNR: 41.4

1000

80 - 190 MHz

800

To remove RFI peaks and boost the chance of correctly identifying an air shower pulse, we developed a frequency weighting scheme based on the measured background at the

We calculated the efficiency with which a shower can be reconstructed using the IceTop Surface Enhancement. We used an SNR-cut which rejects 99% of background pulses. Air showers will be reconstructed for energies above 40 PeV, depending on the trajectory.

A qualitative comparison of simulated and observed air showers, as seen in the antennas, gives evidence that the simulation chain is working correctly.

Future work will include extending the background pulse rejection using machine learning techniques. Future versions of the hardware will include a reduction of the RFI being generated by the DAQ, itself, which will further boost the reconstruction efficiency.

### References and Ackowledgements

[1] H.V. Cane, Mon. Not. Royal Astron. Soc., 189 no. 3, (1979) [2] E. de Lera Acedo et al., Proc. of ICEAA, 2015 [3] IceCube Collaboration, M Oehler and R. Turcotte, PoS ICRC2021, XYZ. [4] D. Heck et al., Report FZKA 6019 (1998) [5] IceCube Collaboration, F. G. Schroeder, PoS ICRC2019, 418

U.S. National Science Foundation-EPSCoR (RII Track-2 FEC, award ID 2019597)

