Cosmographic model of the astroparticle skies

2021-06-13 ICRC 2021 / UHECR sources

J. Biteau, S. Marafico, Y. Kerfis, O. Deligny



The near-infrared sky & extragalactic astroparticles

Wide field-of-view astroparticle physics



2MASS Redshift Survey (2MRS): Spectroscopy of the brightest ones, $d(\sum M_{obs} = 0.5 M_{tot}) = 140 \text{ Mpc}$ **2MASS Photometric Redshift (2MPZ):** Photo-z with $\sigma(d) = 12\%$ of fainter ones, $d(\sum M_{obs} = 0.5 M_{tot}) = 350 \text{ Mpc}$

Full-sky astronomy

A catalog of M_{\pm} and SFR within 1 Gyr

Revised MANGROVE catalog (Biteau 2021, based on MANGROVE, Ducoin+ 2020, & GLADE, Dálya+ 2018)

- Cross-match with HyperLEDA distance database: 400,000 galaxies at d < 350 Mpc, spectro-z for ~50% (× 4)
- M_↓ and star-formation rate (SFR) estimate for each galaxy

•Incompleteness correction factors vs distance (sensitivity threshold) & Galactic latitude (Zone of Avoidance)







Key modeling points Catalog tracing 3D SFR density isotropy assumed at d > 350 Mpc Peters' cycle injection, with free $(A, Z)_{inj}$ norm, index $p, R_{max} = (E_{inj}/Z_{inj})_{max}$ UHECR propagation (EBL, CMB) SimProp v2.4 \rightarrow npy 5D tensor, T $T: E_{inj}, (A, Z)_{inj}, z_{inj} \rightarrow E_{obs}, (A, Z)_{obs}$

If UHECR production rate \propto SFR > 1 Mpc...



• Efficient use of 5D transport tensor based on SimProp output (Aloisio+ 2017)

Source distribution from SFR density in Auger field of view



1e37

= 56 Total

UHECR data vs skymaps from 1–350 Mpc



N.B.: Galactic *B*-field and extragalactic *B*-field (fG < B < nG) not taken into account

UHECR data vs skymaps from 1–350 Mpc



N.B.: Galactic *B*-field and extragalactic *B*-field (fG < B < nG) not taken into account

Should we see the Virgo cluster and other clusters?

Inferring B-fields on cluster scales from our catalog

- ·B-field scales with baryon overdensity based on MHD cosmological simulation (Donnert+ 2018)
- Baryon mass scales with M of galaxies through halo mass (Gonzales+ 2013)
- Recalibration of overdensity to match Coma's B-field (Bonafede+ 2010)



Stellar Mass Overdensity of Local Super Clusters

Comoving volume: (600 Mpc)³ - Smoothing: 10.0 Mpc

ρ*/<ρ*>

Should we see the Virgo cluster and other clusters?

Inferring B-fields on cluster scales from our catalog

- Temporal spread vs rigidity from Coma's observed **B-field coherence length and extent**
- Escape rigidity of Coma from its magnetic horizon: light-travel time = B-field temporal spread
- •Escape rigidity of Virgo ($\propto B_0$) from overdensity, assuming (1st order) same B-field geometry as Coma



Should we see the Virgo cluster and other clusters?

Escape Rigidity, R_{esc}, vs Maximum Rigidity, R_{max} •Coma, Virgo clusters: $R_{asc} > R_{max} \Rightarrow$ no UHECRs can escape No escape + background screening ⇒ expected UHECR blind spots

Impact on UHECR spectrum at escape from environment

- •Hard observed index (p < 0) \Leftrightarrow narrow rigidity range
- •Strenghtened by *B*shielding at entrance in Local Sheet/Group?

Next steps

- •Confirm $R_{asc}(B)$ with dedicated simulations
- Provide realistic model of flux and composition vs energy and direction



Attenuated Flux Map at $E > 100 \text{ EeV} - 10^{\circ}$ smoothing Equatorial coordinates

60°

ocal Void

30

-309

15°

Dec. 0° -15°

Summary

A catalog of M and SFR within 350 Mpc (Biteau+ 2021, arXiv:2105.11345)

- •Nearly half a million galaxies: 2MRS × 10
- ·Significant improvement on distance & completeness estimates
- •Realistic data-driven model of M_{\star} and SFR 3D distribution

UHECR studies opened by this catalog

· Impact of the local overdensity on the combined-fit vs declination

- •Consistent modeling of foreground and background M_{\star} and SFR
- •Over/under-density mapping on the sphere vs E

A tentative investigation of *B*-fields on cluster scales

- Exploiting the link between baryonic overdensity and central *B*-fields
- •No UHECR escape from most magnetized clusters
- \Rightarrow Simple *B*-horizon argument used here, to be checked against sims
- \Rightarrow Extended sources of secondary v and γ ? (see e.g. Fang & Murase 2018 in 1D)

